

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                       | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/840,212  | 04/23/2001     | Paul Hedley Day      | 1624-L-PCT-US-CIP       | 3941             |
| 27542 7   | 590 06/05/2003 |                      |                         |                  |
| SAND & SEBOLT<br>4801 DRESSLER RD., N.W.<br>SUITE 194 |                |                      | EXAMI                   | NER              |
|   |                |                      | POPOVICS,               | ROBERT J         |
| CANTON, OH 44718                                      | 1 44718        |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |                |                      | 1724                    | 10               |
|   |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 06/05/2003 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Application No.    Application No.   Application Inc.   If No.   Application No.   Application Inc.   If No.   Application No.   Application Inc.   If No.   Application Inc.   Application Inc.   If No.   Application Inc.   If No.   Application Inc.   Application Inc.   If No.   Application Inc.   Inc.   Application Inc.   Application Inc.   Application Inc.   Application Inc.   Application Inc.   Application Inc.   Inc.   Inc.   Application Inc.   Inc.   Inc.   Inc.   Application Inc.   Inc.  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
|--|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheat beneath the correspondence ad  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE  MONTH(S) FROM THE MAI  OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SD from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. §  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any e term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  Responsive to communication(s) filed on  This action is FIRMAL.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is cl accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  I O - 28  is/are pending in the application is/are rejected.  Claim(s)  is/are rejected.  Claim(s)  is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or requirement.  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| Period for Rephy  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE  | dress—                           |  |  |  |  |
| OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SD from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be consider.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any extern adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  Responsive to communication(s) filed on  - This action is FIMAL.  - Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is classically accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  - Claim(s)  - Cla |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be consider. If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any element adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  Responsive to communication(s) filed on  This action is FIMAL.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is classication of Claims  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  IO - 28  is/are pending in the application(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s)  IClaim(s)   | LING DATE                        |  |  |  |  |
| □ This action is FINAL.  □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is claccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  □ Claim(s)   | lered timely.<br>ation.<br>133). |  |  |  |  |
| □ This action is FINAL.  □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is claccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  □ Claim(s)   |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| □ This action is FINAL.  □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is claccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  □ Claim(s)   | ·                                |  |  |  |  |
| accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims    O - 28  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) is/are pending in the appl  Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from cor  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction of requirement.   | losed in                         |  |  |  |  |
| □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  □ Claim(s) / 0 - 28 are subject to restriction of requirement.  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. □ Claim(s) / 0 - 28 are subject to restriction of requirement.   | ication.                         |  |  |  |  |
| ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction of requirement.   | nsideration.                     |  |  |  |  |
| ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  A Claim(s) are subject to restriction of requirement.  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) are subject to restriction or requirement   |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| / \ requirement  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers requirement   | or election                      |  |  |  |  |
| ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.   |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner   |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)   |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d).   |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:   |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| *Certified copies not received:  | _·                               |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| □ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 □ Notice of Informal Patent Applica  | tion, PTO-152                    |  |  |  |  |
| ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 ☐ Other  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  |                                  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/840,212 Page 2

Art Unit: 1724

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 10-21, drawn to an APPARATUS FOR EFFECTING SEPARATION OF LIQUID FROM SOLIDS OR SOLIDS FROM LIQUIDS, classified in class 210, subclass 386.
  - II. Claims 22-28, drawn to a METHOD FOR EFFECTING SEPARATION OF LIQUID FROM SOLIDS OR SOLIDS FROM LIQUID, classified in class 100, subclass 36-37.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions of Group II and Group I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, such as the separation of solids from a non-liquid material (e.g. a gel)..
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/840,212

Art Unit: 1724

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II and vice versa, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

| Species | Corresponding Drawing Figure |
|---------|------------------------------|
| 1       | 1                            |
| 2       | 7                            |
| 3       | 8                            |
| 4       | 9                            |

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Application/Control Number: 09/840,212

Art Unit: 1724

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP

§ 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct,

applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention. Applicant is advised

that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be

examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Examiner Popovics whose telephone number is (703) 308-0684.

RJP

June 3, 2003

Page 4